



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,291	01/25/2006	Isabel Cristina Gonzalez Valcarcel	XI5998	5059
25885	7590	10/22/2009	EXAMINER	
ELI LILLY & COMPANY PATENT DIVISION P.O. BOX 6288 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288			MABRY, JOHN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		1625	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
10/22/2009	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[patents@lilly.com](mailto:patents@lilly.com)

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/566,291 JOHN MABRY	GONZALEZ VALCARCEL ET AL Art Unit 1625

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) JOHN MABRY (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) MaCharri Vomdran-Jones. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 September 2009 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Mabry/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner contacted Attny MaCharri Vorndran-Jones to discuss if Applicant sent an Appeal Brief in response to Notice of Appeal dated on March 5, 2009. Attny Vorndran-Jones notified Examiner that Applicant intends on filing Appeal Brief. No Appeal Brief or Request for Continued Examiner has been timely filed.